

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

Intelligent Virtual Paging Paradigm

Inventor(s):
Steven Weil
Wei Zhu
William Hill

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. MS1-747US

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

TECHNICAL FIELD

This invention generally relates to a technology for enhancing the reading experience of electronically stored documents on electronic display devices.

BACKGROUND

In these times, documents typically exist in two forms: hard or soft. These forms may also be called hardcopy or softcopy; physical or electronic; molecules or electrons; analog or digital; paper and electronically stored; and the like. Herein, for the purposes of clarity, these forms are called “paper” documents and “digital” documents, respectively.

Generally, the so-called “paper” documents are visible, physical, permanent media having visible, physical, permanent markings (i.e., indicia). Such permanent media is not limited to paper, but can include other media that serves the same general purpose. For example, other such media may include film, transparencies, and the like. The markings typically include some form of content (e.g., data or information), which is persisted at the direction of a person or machine.

Generally, the so-called “digital” documents are electronic representations presented on a computer display screen. Such representations are stored on or transmitted via computer-readable media (e.g., diskette, hard drive, wire, etc.).

Often, content in one form is converted to another form. Digital documents may be converted to paper documents by printing on a printer (e.g., printouts). The typical goal of word processing and desktop publishing applications is to produce high-quality paper versions of the digital versions of a document.

1 Conversely, the content of paper documents may be input into a computer
2 to generate digital documents. Data may be manually entered. A photograph may
3 be scanned. An article may be scanned and processed by an OCR (optical
4 character recognition) to pull text back into a digital document so that it is
5 manipulable again.

6 7 **Format of Digital Documents**

8 Generally, the format of digital documents depends upon the intended
9 purpose of such document and/or the source of the content in the document.
10 Examples of generic formats of digital documents include character-based and
11 image-based.

12 13 **Character-Based**

14 A character-based digital document (or simply character-based document)
15 is one where the primary addressable data object is a character (e.g., letter,
16 symbol, punctuation, etc.). Typically, these character-based documents include
17 some control codes and formatting codes. However, the fundamental manipulable
18 and addressable object is a character.

19 For example, a word processor primarily generates digital documents with
20 character-based data. The format of this data is highly readable and manipulable
21 by humans. A human can manipulate each character in such a document by using
22 a word processor.

23 These characters are typically encoded. An example of such encoding is
24 ASCII (American Standard Code for Information Interchange). It is a standard
25 code for representing English characters as numbers.

Image-based

An image-based digital document (or simply image-based document) is one where the primary addressable data object is something other than a character. Two common varieties of image-based digital documents include “raster-oriented” and “vector-oriented.”

Raster-Oriented. A raster-oriented image-based document may consist of a grid (e.g., a raster) of values. This may also be called a “raster,” a “bitmap-oriented,” or a “bitmap” image-based document. The fundamental manipulable and addressable object is a pixel on the raster to represent images. A pixel may also be called a point, a dot, an intersection, or a bit.

With a bitmap, an image is composed of a pattern of dots. Examples of common document formats that are raster-oriented include: BMP, GIF, PCX, and TIFF.

Vector-Oriented. A vector-oriented image-based document may consist of a set of “drawing” instructions. This may also be called a “vector” or an “object-oriented” image-based document. The fundamental manipulable and addressable object is drawing instructions (including geometrical formulas) to represent images.

Examples of common document formats that are vector-oriented include: CGM, DXF, EPS, and WMF.

Fixed Digital Documents

By their nature, the content of character-based digital documents is largely textual. Likewise, the content of image-based digital documents is largely

graphical. However, there is a significant and growing segment of the body of image-based digital documents wherein the content is largely textual. These documents are image-based digital documents caught in an intermediate stage of conversion from/to paper documents to/from character-based digital documents.

Herein, these documents are called “fixed” digital documents (or simply fixed documents). The “fixed” terminology refers to the immutable nature of the visible characters at a character-addressable level. In other words, the content of a fixed document—in particular, the characters and words—cannot be simply modified using a character-based application (such as a word processor). To modify a fixed document, it is typically converted to character-based data (using technology like OCR). In addition, a fixed document may be immutable for non-technical reasons (e.g., legal reasons).

Transition from Character-Based Digital Documents to Paper

Why would one want for character-based documents to be in a fixed form, but not on paper? This is desirable when one wants some of the characteristics of publishing on paper to be part of an electronic document. Specifically, such characteristics include consistency and immutability. Typically, these types of documents are vector documents.

Typically, fixed documents print in the same manner on all output devices (e.g., printers). With character-based documents, a printout can and does vary depending upon the output devices (e.g., printers) and the computers involved.

Typically, fixed documents are unchangeable (i.e., immutable). Although security may be employed to prevent modification, the unchangeable nature of fixed documents is focused, herein, on the ease of change rather prevention of

1 change. Generally, the content of a fixed document is not easily altered using a
2 character-addressable application (such as a word processor or desktop publishing
3 application).

4 Common examples of formats of fixed documents that are likely in this
5 transition (from character-based document to paper document) include: Portable
6 Document Format (PDF) and PostScript™.

7 PDF is a popular standard format for electronic document distribution
8 worldwide. PDF is a near universal file format that preserves all of the fonts,
9 formatting, colors, and graphics of any source document, regardless of the
10 application and platform used to create it. PDF documents can be shared, viewed,
11 navigated, and printed exactly as intended.

12 Similarly, PostScript™ is a popular standard format for desktop publishing
13 because it is supported by imagesetters, which are the very high-resolution printers
14 used by service bureaus to produce camera-ready copy.

15 Transition from Paper to Character-Based Digital Documents

16
17 Why would one want paper documents to be in a fixed electronic form, and
18 not on paper? This is desirable when one wants to electronically store information
19 that is paper.

20 To go from paper to digital document, the paper document may be scanned
21 using imaging equipment (such as a scanner or digital camera). Typically, these
22 types of documents are image documents.

23 Common examples of formats of fixed documents that are likely in this
24 transition (from paper to character-based documents) include: TIFF and JPEG.

Physical Pages, Screen Pages, and Virtual Pages

The concepts of physical pages, screen pages, and virtual pages are discussed below and illustrated in Figs. 1-5. These concepts are related but different from each other.

Screen Page

Fig. 1 illustrates a typical computer monitor 100 and more particularly, a typical “screen page” 110 of such the monitor. The screen page is the viewable real estate of a screen of the monitor 100. Typically, the dimensions of the screen page 110 have a standard ratio of relative height (H) to relative width (W). Most screen pages have a landscape orientation, where the height is less than the width ($H < W$).

Physical Page

Fig. 2 illustrates a typical physical page 130. Examples of physical pages represents include actual paper documents and of a fixed documents. The dimensions of a physical page correspond to those of an actual paper document and of a fixed document.

Typically, the dimensions of the physical page have a standard ratio of relative length (L) to relative width (W). Most physical pages have a portrait orientation, where the length is greater than the width ($L > W$).

Although a physical page may have any orientation and size, a portrait-oriented letter-sized (8.5” x 11”) page is ubiquitous in the United States. The

1 physical pages (e.g., page 130) of Figs. 1-5 and Figs. 7-10 are illustrated to
2 approximately represent a standard U.S. ubiquitous page size.

3 Although electronic, fixed documents are typically formatted for output on
4 a physical page of paper. Herein, the fixed size and fixed orientation of a fixed
5 document is also called a “physical page.”

6 7 Virtual Page

8 **Fig. 3** illustrates a typical virtual page 140. A virtual page is the portion of
9 the physical page 130 viewed through the screen page 110 of the monitor 100. In
10 other words, the virtual page 140 is the mapping of the screen page 110 onto the
11 physical page 130 (or vice versa).

12 As shown in Fig. 3, the relative dimensions of the physical page 130
13 typically do not match the relative dimensions of the screen page 110. Although
14 the relative widths (W) are comparable, the relative length (L) of the physical page
15 130 does not match the relative height (H) of the screen page 110.

16 It is possible to reduce the overall size of the physical page 130 so that the
17 entire page is viewable on the screen page 110. However, this is not desirable
18 because the content (e.g., text) of the physical page is difficult to read on a typical
19 computer monitor. The content effectively becomes illegible.

20 To maximize legibility, it is common to display only a portion of the
21 physical page 130 on the screen page 110 at any one time. Typically, the entire
22 width of the physical page 130 is viewed in the screen page 110, but only a portion
23 of the length of the physical page 130 is viewed in the screen page 110. This
24 portion is called the virtual page 140. An unviewed portion 142 of the physical
25 page 130 is illustrated in Fig. 3 as a shaded box.

Virtual Paging Paradigm

A virtual paging paradigm is a technique used to determine the appropriate manner to display one or more physical pages of a fixed document on a screen page so that the relative dimensions of physical pages fit within the screen page and the content of the physical pages remains comfortably legible. This is also called "virtual pagination."

In other words, a virtual paging paradigm is how a fixed document is divided into multiple virtual pages.

In addition to maintaining comfortable legibility, these techniques may also maintain aspect ratio and good margins. Generally speaking, being "comfortably legible" and having "good margins" on a computer screen are a subjective determination. However, those of ordinary skill in the art understand and appreciate how to make these subjective determinations by using objective and/or subjective observations.

Of course, if the relative dimensions of the physical pages of a fixed document fit within a screen page while the contents remain comfortably legible, then virtual pagination is trivial. The challenge arises when the physical pages of a fixed document do *not* fit within a screen page while the contents remain comfortably legible. By a large margin, that is the most common situation.

The virtual paging paradigm may also be called "VP paradigm."

Conventional Virtual Paging Paradigm

The conventional VP paradigms are illustrated in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. With both conventional paradigms, a reader typically “scrolls,” “pans,” and/or “zooms” to view different virtual pages.

These conventional VP paradigms may also zoom a view of a fixed document. Zoom increases the size (thus, the legibility) of the viewed portion of a document and pan to change the view displayed on the screen. Consequently, these conventional VP paradigms may be called “zoom-and-pan” paradigms.

Fig. 4 illustrates an example of a conventional VP paradigm. Specifically, it illustrates a “multiple virtual page within physical page boundary with overlap” VP paradigm. In short, that is the multiple VP w/in PP boundary w/overlap VP paradigm.

More specifically, Fig. 4 illustrates the physical page 130. That page is divided into two virtual pages, 142a and 142b. In this example, the virtual pages do *not* cross a boundary of the physical page 130. In other words, a virtual page does *not* display portions of more than one physical page at a time.

With this conventional VP paradigm, overlap 152 is a portion of the physical page 130 that appears in both virtual pages. Overlap 152 is the portion of the physical page 130 displayed at the bottom of virtual page 142a is again displayed in virtual page 142b, but at the top.

Fig. 5 illustrates another example of a conventional VP paradigm. Specifically, it illustrates a “virtual page across physical page boundary with overlap” VP paradigm. In short, that is the VP over PP boundary w/overlap VP paradigm.

1 More specifically, Fig. 5 illustrates physical pages 130 and 132. These
2 pages are divided into three virtual pages: 144a, 144b, and 146c. The virtual pages
3 may cross a boundary of the physical pages. In other words, a virtual page may
4 display portions of more than one physical page at a time. For example, virtual
5 page 144b includes portions of physical page 130 and physical page 132.

6 This paradigm also has overlap between virtual pages. However, the
7 overlap is typically less pronounced. Overlap 154ab is the portion of the physical
8 page 130 displayed at the bottom of virtual page 144a is again displayed at the top
9 of virtual page 144b. Overlap 154bc is the portion of the physical page 132
10 displayed at the bottom of virtual page 144b is again displayed at the top of virtual
11 page 144c.

12 Overlap

13
14 Why do the conventional VP paradigms include overlap? Why repeat
15 textual information from one page to the next?

16 With the VP over PP boundary w/overlap VP paradigm of Fig. 5, the
17 primary reason for overlap is to ensure that each line of text (on the physical page)
18 is displayed in its entirety. The overlap avoids splitting a line of text.

19 For example, if there were no overlap, the bottom of virtual page 144b of
20 Fig. 5 would split a line of text. Since there is overlap 154bc, that line of text is
21 displayed in both virtual page 144b and 144c.

22 If a line of text was split, the top of the line would be displayed at the
23 bottom of one virtual page and the bottom of the line would be displayed at the top
24 on the next virtual page. Of course, a line of text split in this manner is very
25

1 difficult to read. The conventional solution to this problem is to display an overlap
2 large enough to probably prevent any splitting.

3 4 **Conventional Experience of Reading Fixed Documents**

5 However, this conventional solution introduces a new problem: The overlap
6 hinders a person's reading experience because they must search for unread text.
7 Although this may be a trivial task, the cumulative effect of repeating this task for
8 each virtual page is likely to make the reading experience less enjoyable than the
9 natural reading a paper document.

10 Accordingly, what is needed is a new virtual paging paradigm that
11 enhances the reading experience that a person has when reading virtual pages of a
12 fixed document. The reading experience with this new paradigm corresponds to
13 the natural reading experience that a person has with a paper document.

14 **SUMMARY**

15
16 Described herein is a technology for enhancing the reading experience of
17 electronically stored documents on electronic display devices. The reading
18 experience corresponds to the natural reading experience that a person has with a
19 paper document.

20 Unlike the conventional virtual paging paradigms, this technology
21 intelligently examines the content of a fixed electronic document at (or near) a
22 boundary of virtual pages. It determines whether that content includes lines of
23 text. If it does, then it moves the virtual page boundary to white space between
24 lines rather than splitting a line of text or displaying overlap. This action
25 intelligently avoids splitting a line of text.

1 Alternatively, if it cannot determine whether that content includes lines of
2 text, then it produces an overlap, but it softly lowlights the overlap. This indicates
3 that the reader need not read the content of the overlap because it either will be
4 repeated or was repeated. The content of the overlap will be repeated on the next
5 virtual page or it was repeated from the prior virtual page.

6 This summary itself is not intended to limit the scope of this patent.
7 Moreover, the title of this patent is not intended to limit the scope of this patent.
8 For a better understanding of the present invention, please see the following
9 detailed description and appending claims, taken in conjunction with the
10 accompanying drawings. The scope of the present invention is pointed out in the
11 appending claims.

12 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

13
14 The same numbers are used throughout the drawings to reference like
15 elements and features. The actual information contained in the textual content of
16 the illustrated “pages” in these drawings is not relevant for the description herein.

17 **Figs. 1-2** illustrate background information:

- 18 • **Fig. 1** is an illustration of a typical computer monitor and its screen
19 page. Although illustrating background, the subject of the figure may
20 be employed by an implementation of the invention herein.
- 21 • **Fig. 2** is an illustration of a typical physical page. Although illustrating
22 background, the subject of the figure may be employed by an
23 implementation of the invention herein.

24 **Fig. 3** illustrates a virtual page.

1 **Fig. 4** illustrates a conventional virtual paging paradigm. More specifically,
2 it illustrates a “multiple virtual page within physical page boundary with overlap”
3 virtual paging paradigm.

4 **Fig. 5** illustrates a conventional virtual paging paradigm. More specifically,
5 it illustrates “virtual page across physical page boundary with overlap” virtual
6 paging paradigm.

7 **Fig. 6** shows an implementation in accordance with an implementation of
8 the invention herein.

9 **Figs. 7-12** illustrate a new virtual paging paradigm in accordance with an
10 implementation of the invention herein.

11 **Fig. 13** is a flow diagram showing an illustrative methodological
12 implementation of the invention herein.

13 **Fig. 14** is a flow diagram showing an illustrative methodological
14 implementation of the invention herein.

15 **Figs. 15 and 16** illustrate the layout of virtual pages and physical pages
16 within such virtual pages.

17 **Fig. 17** is a flow diagram showing an illustrative methodological
18 implementation of the invention herein.

19 **Fig. 18** is a flow diagram showing an illustrative methodological
20 implementation of the invention herein.

21 **Fig. 19** is an example of a computing operating environment capable of
22 implementing an embodiment (wholly or partially) of the invention herein.
23
24
25

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In the following description, for purposes of explanation, specific numbers, materials and configurations are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. However, it will be apparent to one skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without the specific exemplary details. In other instances, well-known features are omitted or simplified to clarify the description of the exemplary implementations of present invention, thereby better explain the present invention. Furthermore, for ease of understanding, certain method steps are delineated as separate steps; however, these separately delineated steps should not be construed as necessarily order-dependent in their performance.

The following description sets forth one or more exemplary implementations of an intelligent virtual paging paradigm that incorporate elements recited in the appended claims. These implementations are described with specificity in order to meet statutory written description, enablement, and best-mode requirements. However, the description itself is not intended to limit the scope of this patent.

The inventors intend these exemplary implementations to be examples. The inventors do not intend these exemplary implementations to limit the scope of the present invention. Rather, the inventors have contemplated that the present invention might also be embodied and implemented in other ways, in conjunction with other present or future technologies.

An example of an embodiment of an intelligent virtual paging paradigm may be referred to as an “exemplary VP paradigm.”

Introduction

The one or more exemplary implementations, described herein, of the present invention may be implemented (in whole or in part) by an intelligent virtual paging system (or simply an intelligent VP system 200) and/or by a computing environment like that shown in Fig. 19. In general, the exemplary VP paradigm enhances a person's reading experience when the person is reading virtual pages of a fixed document. The reading experience with the exemplary VP paradigm corresponds to the natural reading experience that a person has with a paper document.

The conventional document viewers used to display fixed documents have few—if any—capabilities to enhance the reading experience of the human reader. Typically, these conventional document viewers are designed to display the document in the traditional virtual paging (VP) paradigm (as illustrated in Figs. 4 and 5). As such, the user must awkwardly scroll, pan, and/or zoom to view successive virtual pages of a fixed document.

With the conventional VP paradigms, one of the roadblocks hindering smooth readability is the lack of an indication where unread text begins on a subsequent virtual page. Related to that is another roadblock, which is a lack of a universal starting point for reading on each virtual page.

These roadblocks are not addressed by the conventional. These roadblocks are especially difficult to address with modern “designed” pages containing a variety of fonts and line heights.

When a person reads a book, that person expects the first text of each page to be at a universal position on the page AND for the text to be unread (i.e., not

1 repeated from the previous page). However, the conventional VP paradigms
2 present subsequent virtual pages with overlap—which is repeated data from the
3 previous virtual page). This forces the reader to search for a place to begin reading
4 unread text. That starting point may vary with each subsequent virtual page.

5 Overview

7 The exemplary VP paradigm breaks the traditional zoom-and-pan paradigm
8 for display of fixed documents. To state positively, the exemplary VP paradigm
9 emulates a reader's experience of reading a linear sequence of physical paper
10 pages (e.g., a book) so that a reader has a universal starting point on each virtual
11 page to begin reading unread text.

12 Generally, the exemplary VP paradigm has the following characteristics:

- 13 • The start-reading point (where unread text begins) of each virtual
14 page is at a universal position on each virtual page;
- 15 • There is a single operation (such as pressing the “space” bar) to
16 advance to the next screen page;
- 17 • For each given hardware configuration, maintain a fixed mapping
18 between the virtual pages and physical pages (so the user gets a
19 consistent experience each time a particular fixed document is
20 viewed).
- 21 • Avoid repetition of words/line from one screen page to the next;
22 However, when repetition is unavoidable, convey this to the user so
23 they easily know what has already been read.
- 24 • If the last physical page is not full of content, it will be displayed
25 with blank area at the bottom.

- Maintain good margins when possible.

Note that an implementation of the present invention may employ a subset of these characteristics. In other words, an implementation need not employ all of these characteristics.

At a high level, the exemplary VP paradigm employs two approaches. Approach A is the “virtual-page break between identified lines” approach. The methodological implementation of this approach is illustrated in Fig. 13 and the results of such approach are illustrated in Figs. 7 and 8. Approach B is the “virtual-page break with overlap indication” approach. The methodological implementation of this approach is illustrated in Fig. 14 and the results of such approach are illustrated in Fig. 9 and 10.

In general, the second approach (i.e., Approach B) is a backup to the first approach (i.e., Approach A). In other words, Approach B may be used if Approach A fails to identify lines between which to break. However, the two approaches may be used independently of each other.

In at least one implementation, the exemplary VP paradigm displays no more than one physical page at a time in a screen page. Thus, the exemplary VP paradigm determines and then displays a minimum integer number of virtual pages per physical page while maintaining legibility, aspect ratio, and good margins. With this implementation, a typical “letter-sized” (8.5” x 11”) physical page is divided into two virtual pages when displayed on a typical computer screen.

System Implementing the Exemplary Virtual Paging Paradigm

Fig. 6 shows the intelligent VP system 200. That system implements the exemplary VP paradigm. This system may be implemented in software, hardware, or a combination thereof. It may be implemented on a single computer or by multiple computers.

The intelligent VP system 200 includes a document retriever 210 that retrieves fixed documents. It may retrieve them from a storage device, such as storage 212 for fixed document. It may receive them from across of network of computers.

A virtual paginator 220 examines a retrieved fixed document. It estimates the virtual page mapping of virtual pages onto the physical pages of the fixed document. This may also be called “virtual pagination.” The breaks between the virtual pages are called the “natural” virtual-page boundaries. This “natural” virtual-page boundary is the location where a boundary would fall without further examination.

A virtual-page analyzer 230 further analyzes the fixed document and it analyzes the “natural” virtual-page boundaries. Here is where the two approaches of the exemplary VP paradigm diverge (or alternately are combined).

With Approach A, the virtual-page analyzer 230 adjusts the virtual-page boundaries so that they fall between identified lines of text. With Approach B, the virtual-page analyzer 230 adjusts the virtual pagination to produce an overlap and that overlap is softly darkened and contrast-reduced so that it will not draw the user’s attention.

1 A display generator 240 generates and formats the virtual pages for display
2 on an electronic display 250 (e.g., computer monitor). The electronic display 250
3 displays the fixed document one virtual page at a time where the virtual pagination
4 was determined by the estimator 220 and adjuster 230.

5 6 **Synthetic Virtual-Page Margin**

7 The exemplary VP paradigm may implement a synthetic virtual-page
8 margin. It is extra margin added to the top of a virtual page following a virtual-
9 page break. This is indicated at 350cd of Fig. 8 and 373b of Fig. 11.

10 This is done so that the apparent margins remain constant. Consequently,
11 the reader finds the starting point at the same universal location on each virtual
12 page. For example, the synthetic virtual-page margin may be defined to be 5% of
13 height of page segment. That universal starting point is labeled X in Figs. 8 and
14 10-12.

15 This synthetic margin may be left blank (as is 350cd of Fig. 8) or be a
16 shaded overlap (as 373b of Fig. 11). The synthetic margin is particularly relevant
17 to approach A where the text may otherwise start at the very top of a virtual page.

18 19 **Approach A (Virtual-Page Break Between Identified Lines Approach)**

20 With this approach, the exemplary VP paradigm determines whether it can
21 identify lines of text at or near a virtual-page boundary. If so, it moves the
22 effective virtual-page boundary to white space between lines at or near the actual
23 virtual-page boundary.

24 **Figs. 7 and 8** illustrate the effect of this approach. Fig. 11 also illustrates the
25 effect of this approach.

Fig. 7 shows two physical pages (330 and 332) of a fixed document. Each of these physical pages is divided into a multiple integer of virtual pages. In this case, that number is two. There are two virtual pages per physical page. In this described implementation, virtual pages do not extend across boundaries of physical pages. In alternative implementations, a virtual page may extend across such boundaries.

In Fig. 7, the two physical pages are divided into four virtual pages (340a-340d). Each physical page is divided into two virtual pages. Arrow 350ab indicates the virtual-page boundary between virtual pages 340a and 340b. This is the “natural” virtual-page boundary. Since this boundary falls between lines of text, no adjustment is necessary for the break between virtual pages to fall between lines.

Arrow 350cd indicates the virtual-page boundary between virtual pages 340c and 340d. This is the “natural” virtual-page boundary. This boundary falls on a line of text (i.e., it is coextensive with a line of text). Consequently, an adjustment is necessary for the break between virtual pages to fall between lines. Arrow 360cd indicates an example of where the boundary may be shifted for that to occur.

Fig. 8 illustrates two consecutive virtual pages viewed through screen pages, where virtual pagination is performed in accordance with this approach. Screen page 312 shows virtual page 340c. Screen page 314 shows virtual page 340d. Arrow 360cd indicates that break between these virtual pages is the adjusted one rather than the natural one.

When examining Fig. 8, note that a reader has a universal starting position (X) on each virtual page. That position is substantially the same on each virtual

1 page. This means that the user begins reading each page from the same physical
2 location on the computer screen. In addition, no text is split by the virtual
3 pagination. Moreover, no text is repeated on successive virtual pages.
4 Alternatively, if text is repeated it is lowlighted so that the reader knows that it is
5 repeated from the previous virtual page.

6 **Fig. 11** illustrates this alternative. It shows portions of two successive
7 virtual pages 372 and 374. At the bottom of virtual page 372 is a lowlighted
8 overlap 373a. Because that area is lowlighted, the reader knows that she is safe to
9 leave it unread because it will appear at the top of the next virtual page.

10 As shown in Fig. 11, the next virtual page 374 does, in fact, display in the
11 clear the text that was in the overlap 373a of virtual page 372. The text in overlap
12 373b of virtual page 374 is from the bottom of previous virtual page. It is text
13 from the clear portion. That means that it has been read. It is repeated to give the
14 reader a context. The lowlighting informs the reader that such text is repeated.

15 Fig. 11 also shows the universal starting position (X) on the top of virtual
16 page 374. It right below the lowlighted overlap 373b. The reader knows that if
17 she begins reading at X, she is reading unrepeated material and will not leave any
18 material unread. Note that the boundary between the overlaps (373a and 373b) is
19 between lines of text. This is the result of the process of approach A.

20 Approach A of the exemplary VP paradigm enhances the reader's reading
21 experience on an electronic device. The reader does *not* need to compensate for
22 the limitations of the conventional VP paradigms. The reader never sees overlap.
23 If they do, they know that the text in the overlap is repeated from previous virtual
24 page. This means that the reader never needs to read the same line twice. The
25 reader does not even need to read a portion of the same line twice. Like reading a

1 paper document, the reader has the comfort of a universal starting position on each
2 virtual page.

3 **Approach B (Virtual-Page Break With Overlap Indication Approach)**

5 This approach may be used if Approach A does not identify lines of text and
6 space between them. Thus, this approach is a backup to Approach A. However,
7 this approach may be used independently of Approach A.

8 With Approach B, the exemplary VP paradigm does *not* identify lines of
9 text at or near a virtual-page boundary. If so, it produces an overlap in a manner
10 similar to the conventional VP paradigms (e.g., like the one shown in Fig. 5).
11 However, it softly highlights (e.g., shades) the overlap. More precisely, it
12 “lowlights” rather than “highlights.” Herein, lowlighting refers to an indication
13 like highlighting, but it is one that is not intended to draw the reader’s attention. A
14 soft shading or graying is appropriate for lowlighting. It may shade the overlap on
15 the bottom of a virtual page, at the top of the next virtual page, or both.

16 This shading indicates repeated text to the reader. This way, the reader may
17 quickly and easily find where to start reading unread next on a subsequent virtual
18 page.

19 **Figs. 9 and 10** illustrate the effect of this approach. **Fig. 12** also illustrates
20 the effect of this approach.

21 Fig. 9 shows two physical pages (334 and 336) of a fixed document. Each
22 of these physical pages is divided into a multiple integer of virtual pages. In this
23 case, that number is two. There are two virtual pages per physical page. In this
24 described implementation, virtual pages do not extend across boundaries of
25

1 physical pages. In alternative implementations, a virtual page may extend across
2 such boundaries.

3 In Fig. 9, the two physical pages are divided into four virtual pages (342a-
4 342d). Each physical page is divided into two virtual pages.

5 Area 352ab is an overlap between virtual pages 342a and 342b. The
6 “natural” virtual-page boundary falls somewhere within that overlap. Since the
7 exemplary VP paradigm cannot determine spacing between lines of text (or
8 alternatively it does not attempt to do so), this overlap area 352ab will be shown
9 on both virtual pages 342a and 342b. It will be at the bottom of virtual page 342a
10 and at the top of virtual page 342b. This overlap provides a buffer space so that a
11 line will not be inadvertently split.

12 This overlap will be lowlighted. More specifically, it will be softly
13 lowlighted (e.g., shaded or grayed-out) so that it is still visible without attracting
14 unnecessary attention. This shaded overlap indicates text that may have been read
15 before or will be repeated on the next virtual page.

16 This applies to area 352cd and virtual pages 342c and 342d.

17 Fig. 10 illustrates two consecutive virtual pages (342c and 342d) viewed
18 through screen pages, where virtual pagination is performed in accordance with
19 this approach. Screen page 316 shows virtual page 342c. Screen page 318 shows
20 virtual page 342d. Overlap 352cd appears at the bottom of virtual page 342c and
21 at the top of virtual page 342d.

22 When examining Fig. 10, note that a reader has a universal starting position
23 (X) on each virtual page. The universal position is after shaded overlap (if any
24 overlap exists). That position is substantially the same on each virtual page.
25

1 Fig. 12 also illustrates the results of this approach. It shows portions of two
2 successive virtual pages 382 and 384. At the bottom of virtual page 382 is a
3 lowlighted overlap 383a. Because that area is lowlighted, the reader knows that
4 she is safe to leave it unread because it will appear at the top of the next virtual
5 page.

6 The reader may read the text that is co-extensive with the boundary of the
7 overlap at the bottom of this virtual page (page 382) or at the top of the next
8 virtual page (page 384). That text will appear in both places.

9 As shown in Fig. 12, the next virtual page 384 does, in fact, display the text
10 that was in the overlap 383a of virtual page 382 and the boundary co-extensive
11 text. The text in overlap 383b of virtual page 384 is from the bottom of previous
12 virtual page. The bulk of that text from the clear portion. Some of it is from the
13 boundary. The lowlighting informs the reader that such text is repeated.

14 Fig. 12 also shows the universal starting position (X) on the top of virtual
15 page 384. It right below the lowlighted overlap 383b. The reader knows that if
16 she begins reading at X, she is reading unrepeated material and will not leave any
17 material unread.

18 Note that the boundary between the overlaps (383a and 383b) is co-
19 extensive with a line of text. That text is “Those are enormous changes, and they
20 prophesy yet”. This is the result of the process of approach B.

21 Approach B of the exemplary VP paradigm enhances the reader’s reading
22 experience on an electronic device. The reader does *not* need to compensate for
23 the limitations of the conventional VP paradigms. Although the reader may see
24 overlap, the reader knows that unread text begins after the overlap. The reader can
25

1 identify the overlap because it is lowlighted. Like reading a paper document, the
2 reader has the comfort of a universal starting position on each virtual page.

3
4 **Methodological Implementation of Approach A of Exemplary VP Paradigm**
5 **(Virtual-Page Break Between Identified Lines Approach)**

6 **Fig. 13** shows methodological implementation of the exemplary VP
7 paradigm performed by the intelligent VP system 200 (or some portion thereof).
8 This methodological implementation may be performed in software, hardware, or
9 a combination thereof.

10 **Fig. 13** shows, at 410, the exemplary VP paradigm receives a fixed
11 document (e.g., one in a PDF format) typically from a storage device (such as
12 storage 312 of Fig. 3).

13 At 420 of Fig. 13, the exemplary VP paradigm estimates the virtual page
14 mapping (i.e., “virtual pagination”) to the physical pages of the fixed document.
15 Part of that estimation is a determination of “natural” virtual-page boundaries. In
16 this situation, “natural” indicates where a virtual-page break would be inserted if
17 no further processing is performed.

18 At 430, the exemplary VP paradigm locates lines of text on the fixed
19 document. It may attempt to locate and identify all lines of text on the fixed
20 document. Alternatively, it may focus its efforts only on areas at and/or near the
21 “natural” virtual-page boundary.

22 To locate the lines of text, the exemplary VP paradigm may employ a
23 coarse OCR (Optical Character Recognition) technique. This coarse technique is
24 not concerned with identifying specific content (e.g., what kind of letter or number
25

1 is a mark, what font is it, etc.). Rather it focuses on identifying that a line of
2 marks *is text*. Furthermore, it locates white space between lines of text.

3 At 440, the exemplary VP paradigm determines whether it can identify
4 lines of text. In particular, it determines whether lines of text can be identified at or
5 near the “natural” virtual-page boundary. If not, then it proceeds to block 540 of
6 Fig. 14. If so, then it proceeds to the next block in this process, which is block
7 450.

8 At 450 of Fig. 13, the exemplary VP paradigm adjusts the virtual-page
9 boundary just enough so that it falls within white space between lines. More
10 specifically, it determines whether an identified line of text is approximately
11 coexistent with the “natural” virtual-page boundary. In other words, it determines
12 whether the “natural” virtual-page boundary would split a line of text. If so, the
13 exemplary VP paradigm forces the virtual-page boundary into the white space
14 before such line.

15 Alternatively, the exemplary VP paradigm may move the boundary to white
16 space after such line. More alternatively still, it may choose to move the boundary
17 to white space before or after lines that are near but not coexistent with the
18 “natural” virtual-page boundary.

19 At 460, the exemplary VP paradigm loops back to block 420 and repeats
20 the loop for each subsequent virtual page of the fixed document until the entire
21 document is virtually paginated. At 470, a display displays the fixed document one
22 virtual page at a time in accordance with the virtual pagination performed by the
23 above-described blocks. Of course, the actions of blocks 460 and 470 may be
24 performed concurrently. At 480, the process ends.

1 **Methodological Implementation of Approach B of Exemplary VP Paradigm**
2 **(Virtual-Page Break With Overlap Indication Approach)**

3 **Fig. 14** shows methodological implementation of the exemplary VP
4 paradigm performed by the intelligent VP system 200 (or some portion thereof).
5 This methodological implementation may be performed in software, hardware, or
6 a combination thereof.

7 **Fig. 14** shows two alternative entry points into block 540.

8 With the first entry point option, the exemplary VP paradigm performs
9 actions at blocks 510 and 520 of Fig. 14 that are the same as those performed in
10 blocks 410 and 420 of Fig. 13. With this option, the exemplary VP paradigm acts
11 independently of the methodological implementation of Approach A (illustrated in
12 Fig. 13 and described above).

13 With the other entry point option, the exemplary VP paradigm continues
14 from block 440 of Fig. 13. In other words, it continues from the methodological
15 implementation of Approach A (illustrated in Fig. 13 and described above).
16 Specifically, this option is employed when the exemplary VP paradigm determines
17 that it *cannot* identify lines of text. In particular, this option is employed when it
18 cannot identify lines of text at or near the “natural” virtual-page boundary.

19 At 540 of Fig. 13, the exemplary VP paradigm determines an overlap area
20 for each virtual page where a line of text may be split. The area of the overlap is
21 typically a distance from the “natural” virtual-page boundary. That distance may
22 be predetermined or it may be calculated for a given fixed document.

23 However, unlike the conventional VP paradigms, this overlap is lowlighted.
24 Typically, it is softly lowlighted (e.g., shaded or grayed-out). The purpose of the
25

1 shaded overlap is to clearly indicate to the reader that unshaded portions are
2 unread. Therefore, the reader knows that she is reading only unread text when
3 reading the unshaded portions of the virtual page.

4 At 550, the exemplary VP paradigm loops back to block 520 for the first
5 option or to block 420 (of Fig. 13) for the other option. The loop repeats for each
6 subsequent virtual page of the fixed document until the entire document is
7 virtually paginated. At 570, a display displays the fixed document one virtual page
8 at a time in accordance with the virtual pagination performed by the above-
9 described blocks. At 580, the process ends.

10 **Other Implementation Details**

11
12 In another aspect of an implementation of the exemplary VP paradigm
13 displays no more than one physical page at a time in a virtual page. Thus, the
14 exemplary VP paradigm determines and then displays a minimum integer number
15 of virtual pages per physical page while maintaining legibility, aspect ratio, and
16 good margins. With this implementation, a typical "letter-sized" (8.5" x 11")
17 physical page is divided into two virtual pages.

18 This aspect is primarily implemented by the virtual paginator 220 of
19 intelligent VP system 200 of Fig. 6; block 420 of Fig. 13 (Approach A); and/or
20 block 520 of Fig. 14 (Approach B).

21 To accomplish this aspect, the following methodological implementation
22 may be employed on a per physical page basis to determine the virtual page
23 dimensions and the virtual page breaks:

- 24 • Start with default screen margins;

- 1 • Iteratively attempt to find the number of virtual pages per physical
- 2 page (starting with 1 virtual page/physical page and working up);
- 3 • End attempts when either of the following occurs:
- 4 ○ “Legibility” is sufficient or “good enough”; or
- 5 ○ No longer “height-constrained” (this is when the entire width
- 6 of the screen is being used by the virtual page to view the
- 7 physical page);
- 8 • Calculate “natural” virtual-page break location;
- 9 • Calculate tentative zoom factor based on worst case page fragment
- 10 size
- 11 • If “legibility” is still poor, use smaller margins.

12 Relevant Terminology:

- 13 • Height-constrained: when the entire width of the screen is being
- 14 used by the virtual page to view the physical page;
- 15 • Overlap factor:
- 16 ○ Determines how much to display twice in subsequent virtual
- 17 pages (in Approach B);
- 18 ○ This may be a percentage of the virtual page or the physical
- 19 page;
- 20 ○ This may be how far from the even fraction of the page to
- 21 search for a line break in the OCR layout data;
- 22 ○ If no good break is found in this region, then break on the
- 23 even fraction and display this much overlap, suitably shaded.
- 24
- 25

- 1 • Legibility: A measure of how readable the text or image will be on
2 the display (at a given zoom level.) ratio of screen pixels of the
3 screen page to character height in the fixed document; alternatively,
4 this may be an absolute size of the characters;
 - 5 ○ Good enough: a legibility threshold (determinable or a
6 constant); if legibility is above this threshold is good enough;
7 this may be customizable;
 - 8 ○ Marginal: a legibility threshold (determinable or a constant);
9 if legibility is below this threshold worse than this, reduce
10 size of screen margin; this may be customizable;
- 11 • Screen margins:
 - 12 ○ May have several settings including ideal and minimal;
 - 13 ○ For example, the ideal screen margin is 5% per side.
 - 14 ○ This (smaller) effective virtual page size is used when
15 calculating how to break the page;
 - 16 ○ Then if legibility is “marginal” reduce margin to 5 pixels, for
17 example;
 - 18 ○ This is used to calculate actual zoom.
- 19 • Maximum zoom factor: this is the maximum that a physical page
20 will be zoomed on a virtual page;
- 21 • Synthetic virtual-page margin: extra margin added to top of a virtual
22 page following a virtual-page break; this is done so that the apparent
23 margins remain constant, thus the reader may find the starting point
24 at the same universal location on each virtual page. For example, 5%
25 of height of page segment. This is particularly relevant for approach

A where the text would otherwise start at the very top of the display since there is no gray buffer.

Another implementation of Approach B of the Exemplary VP Paradigm

In this implementation, a virtual page (VP) is calculated by finding an optimal virtual pagination for a physical page. A VP solution can be described by the values of virtual page width and height (vW, vH), the height of overlapping area (oH) and the number virtual pages (N) for the physical page. Each solution is evaluated and scored based on factors such as legibility, margins, and number of virtual pages (N).

Fig. 15 shows the physical layout 610 of a physical page. **Fig. 16** shows the virtual layout 650 of a virtual page. All measurements in this section (i.e., “Other Implementation Details”) are in units of pixels unless specified otherwise.

Descriptions of variables in Fig. 15 and Fig 16

The following tables are descriptions of variables illustrated in Fig. 15 of a physical page and in Fig. 16 of a virtual page. These variable are used to determine the dimensions of the optimal virtual pagination for a physical page having specific dimensions.

Input variables

mL, mB, mR, mT	Margins in the physical page
iH, iW	width and height of screen page
sW, sH	width and height of screen page

Table 1

Output values

oH	height of overlapping area where image is displayed with shade
vW, vH	Width and height of virtual page

Table 2

A solution for a physical page may be identified by just N and vW. All other values (vH, oH) may be calculated from N and vH. Therefore, the problem of finding an optimal VP solution may be solved by searching in the domain of combinations of vW and N.

Other input variables

In addition to input variables listed in table 1, there are these additional input variables.

iDPI	Resolution of the physical page in units of pixels per inch.
sDPI	Resolution of the screen page in units of pixels per inch

Table 3

Constant values

The exemplary VP paradigm uses some carefully chosen constant values in the calculation process. These values are used in this particular implementation. However, different values may be used to achieve different subjective results.

Name	<u>Value</u>	<u>Description</u>
Cfh	<u>14</u>	min font height in points (1 point = 1/72 inch)
Cms	1.5	maximum scale
Cmm	<u>5</u>	minimum margin pixels
Con	0.075	Ideal overlap ratio in the case text line info is not present or used.
Cir	0.05	variations in heights of different virtual pages
Cmh	0.05	ideal vertical margin ratio
Cmw	0.05	ideal horizontal margin ratio
Csmh	0.01	Ideal vertical margin ratio when screen pixel height is low
Cssw	640	Small screen width
Cssh	480	Small screen height

Table 4

Values to be calculated:

<u>Names</u>	<u>Equation</u>	<u>Description</u>
<u>s</u>	vW/iW	<u>Scale</u>
<u>sPhy</u>	$s * iDPI / sDPI$	<u>Scale in physical measurements</u>
<u>vH</u>	$ceil(iH / N * s)$	<u>virtual page height</u>
<u>oH</u>	<pre> if (N == 1) { oH = 0; } else { if (vH * (1 + 2 * Con) > sH - 2 * m_Cmm { oH = (m_sH - 2 * m_Cmm - m_vH) / 2; } else { oH = vH * Con; } } </pre>	<u>height of overlap area. When the number of virtual pages is 1, there is no need for overlap area. When there is overlap, we need to make sure that it does not cause the vertical screen margins to go below minimum values.</u>
<u>smw</u>	$(sW - vW) / sW$	<u>horizontal screen margin ratio</u>
<u>smh</u>	$(sH - vH - 2 * oH) / sH$	<u>vertical screen margin ratio</u>

Table 5

Hard Constraints

There are some hard constraints that are used to check if a solution can be valid. A valid solution must satisfy all hard constraints.

Constraints	Descriptions
$0 < vH \leq sH$	virtual page height can not be greater than screen height
$s \leq Cms$	There is a minimum zoom value
$sH - vH - 2 * oH \geq 2 * Cmm$	There is a minimum screen margins

Table 6

Scores

In order to evaluate and pick an optimal VP solution among all valid solutions, each solution is scored based on factors listed below. A solution with the best score is considered the optimal VP solution.

Factors to be scored are listed below.

- Legibility
- Screen Margins
- Overlaps
- Number of virtual pages for each physical page. The less number of virtual pages, the better.

Alternative Methodological Implementation of Approach B of Exemplary VP Paradigm

Fig. 17 and Fig. 18 show methodological implementations of the exemplary VP paradigm performed by the intelligent VP system 200 (or some portion thereof). These methodological implementations may be performed in software, hardware, or a combination thereof.

Fig. 17 shows at 710, the exemplary VP paradigm receives a document (e.g., a fixed document).

At 720 of Fig. 17, the exemplary VP paradigm starts the process of calculation virtual pagination for each physical page.

At 730, the exemplary VP paradigm estimates the domain of possible number of virtual pages (N) into which this physical page may be divided. Typically, there are integer numbers. For example, it may be set the range to between 1 to 4 virtual pages per physical page.

At 740, the exemplary VP paradigm starts the process of finding an optimal solution based on a given N value.

At 750, the process of finding an optimal solution based on a given N value is illustrated in Fig. 18.

At 760, the exemplary VP paradigm determines whether it has found the optimal solution for this physical page. If not, then it returns to block 740. If so, then it proceeds to the next block in this process, which is block 765.

At 765, the exemplary VP paradigm uses the optimal solution determined in block 760 for the virtual pagination of this physical page.

1 At 770, the exemplary VP paradigm determines whether it has done virtual
2 pagination for all physical pages in the document. If not, it returns to block 720 to
3 calculate for the next physical page. If so, then it proceeds to the next block in this
4 process, which is block 780.

5 At 780, a display displays the fixed document one virtual page at a time in
6 accordance with the virtual pagination performed by the above-described blocks.
7 Alternatively, the display may display more than one virtual page at time. At 790,
8 the process ends.

9 Fig. 18 shows a methodological implementation for finding an optimal
10 solution based on a given N value.

11 At 810 of Fig. 18, the exemplary VP paradigm estimates the domain of
12 possible values for the virtual page width (vW). For example, the domain may be
13 between 10% of the screen page width and 100% of the screen page width.

14 At 820, the exemplary VP paradigm starts the process of evaluate each
15 possible vW.

16 At 830, the exemplary VP paradigm calculates all the values used in virtual
17 pagination based on the given values of N and vW. See table 5 for more details.

18 At 840, the exemplary VP paradigm scores this solution based on factors
19 described above.

20 At 850, the exemplary VP paradigm determines if this solution is valid
21 based on table 6 and if it has the best possible score for the given N value. If not, it
22 returns to block 820 to calculate for the next vW value. If so, then it proceeds to
23 the next block in this process, which is the end of this process. It returns to block
24 760 in Fig. 17.

1 Although the search logic illustrated in blocks 740, 760 of Fig. 17 and
2 block 820, 850 of Fig. 18 appear to be a simple loop iteration of all possible
3 combinations of N and vW, approaches that are more complex may be used. These
4 are simplified illustrations. Examples of such approaches include “divide and
5 conquer” search algorithms. Other such search algorithms are known to those of
6 ordinary skill in the art.

7 **Other Implementations**

8
9 Although the description herein of the implementations of the exemplary
10 VP paradigm is primarily focused upon the intelligent virtual pagination of fixed
11 documents, other implementations may be directed to the virtual pagination of
12 non-fixed documents. For example, the use of synthetic margins and universal
13 starting points would be particularly applicable to the virtual pagination of all
14 documents (fixed or otherwise).

15 16 Exemplary Computing System and Environment

17 Fig. 19 illustrates an example of a suitable computing environment 900
18 within which an exemplary VP paradigm, as described herein, may be
19 implemented (either fully or partially). The computing environment 900 may be
20 utilized in the computer and network architectures described herein.

21 The exemplary computing environment 900 is only one example of a
22 computing environment and is not intended to suggest any limitation as to the
23 scope of use or functionality of the computer and network architectures. Neither
24 should the computing environment 900 be interpreted as having any dependency
25

1 or requirement relating to any one or combination of components illustrated in the
2 exemplary computing environment 900.

3 The exemplary VP paradigm may be implemented with numerous other
4 general purpose or special purpose computing system environments or
5 configurations. Examples of well known computing systems, environments,
6 and/or configurations that may be suitable for use include, but are not limited to,
7 personal computers, server computers, thin clients, thick clients, hand-held or
8 laptop devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based systems, set top
9 boxes, programmable consumer electronics, network PCs, minicomputers,
10 mainframe computers, distributed computing environments that include any of the
11 above systems or devices, and the like.

12 The exemplary VP paradigm may be described in the general context of
13 computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, being executed by a
14 computer. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects,
15 components, data structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or implement
16 particular abstract data types. The exemplary VP paradigm may also be practiced
17 in distributed computing environments where tasks are performed by remote
18 processing devices that are linked through a communications network. In a
19 distributed computing environment, program modules may be located in both local
20 and remote computer storage media including memory storage devices.

21 The computing environment 900 includes a general-purpose computing
22 device in the form of a computer 902. The components of computer 902 can
23 include, by are not limited to, one or more processors or processing units 904, a
24 system memory 906, and a system bus 908 that couples various system
25 components including the processor 904 to the system memory 906.

1 The system bus 908 represents one or more of any of several types of bus
2 structures, including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, an
3 accelerated graphics port, and a processor or local bus using any of a variety of
4 bus architectures. By way of example, such architectures can include an Industry
5 Standard Architecture (ISA) bus, a Micro Channel Architecture (MCA) bus, an
6 Enhanced ISA (EISA) bus, a Video Electronics Standards Association (VESA)
7 local bus, and a Peripheral Component Interconnects (PCI) bus also known as a
8 Mezzanine bus.

9 Computer 902 typically includes a variety of computer readable media.
10 Such media can be any available media that is accessible by computer 902 and
11 includes both volatile and non-volatile media, removable and non-removable
12 media.

13 The system memory 906 includes computer readable media in the form of
14 volatile memory, such as random access memory (RAM) 910, and/or non-volatile
15 memory, such as read only memory (ROM) 912. A basic input/output system
16 (BIOS) 914, containing the basic routines that help to transfer information
17 between elements within computer 902, such as during start-up, is stored in ROM
18 912. RAM 910 typically contains data and/or program modules that are
19 immediately accessible to and/or presently operated on by the processing unit 904.

20 Computer 902 may also include other removable/non-removable,
21 volatile/non-volatile computer storage media. By way of example, Fig. 19
22 illustrates a hard disk drive 916 for reading from and writing to a non-removable,
23 non-volatile magnetic media (not shown), a magnetic disk drive 918 for reading
24 from and writing to a removable, non-volatile magnetic disk 920 (e.g., a “floppy
25 disk”), and an optical disk drive 922 for reading from and/or writing to a

1 removable, non-volatile optical disk 924 such as a CD-ROM, DVD-ROM, or other
2 optical media. The hard disk drive 916, magnetic disk drive 918, and optical disk
3 drive 922 are each connected to the system bus 908 by one or more data media
4 interfaces 926. Alternatively, the hard disk drive 916, magnetic disk drive 918,
5 and optical disk drive 922 can be connected to the system bus 908 by one or more
6 interfaces (not shown).

7 The disk drives and their associated computer-readable media provide non-
8 volatile storage of computer readable instructions, data structures, program
9 modules, and other data for computer 902. Although the example illustrates a hard
10 disk 916, a removable magnetic disk 920, and a removable optical disk 924, it is to
11 be appreciated that other types of computer readable media which can store data
12 that is accessible by a computer, such as magnetic cassettes or other magnetic
13 storage devices, flash memory cards, CD-ROM, digital versatile disks (DVD) or
14 other optical storage, random access memories (RAM), read only memories
15 (ROM), electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM), and
16 the like, can also be utilized to implement the exemplary computing system and
17 environment.

18 Any number of program modules can be stored on the hard disk 916,
19 magnetic disk 920, optical disk 924, ROM 912, and/or RAM 910, including by
20 way of example, an operating system 926, one or more application programs 928,
21 other program modules 930, and program data 932. Each of such operating
22 system 926, one or more application programs 928, other program modules 930,
23 and program data 932 (or some combination thereof) may include an embodiment
24 of a document obtainer, a virtual paginator, a virtual-page analyzer, a display
25 generator, and a display.

1 A user can enter commands and information into computer 902 via input
2 devices such as a keyboard 934 and a pointing device 936 (e.g., a "mouse").
3 Other input devices 938 (not shown specifically) may include a microphone,
4 joystick, game pad, satellite dish, serial port, scanner, and/or the like. These and
5 other input devices are connected to the processing unit 904 via input/output
6 interfaces 940 that are coupled to the system bus 908, but may be connected by
7 other interface and bus structures, such as a parallel port, game port, or a universal
8 serial bus (USB).

9 A scanner (not shown, but one of the possible input devices 938) is
10 particularly relevant to implementations of the exemplary VP paradigm. That is
11 because it is a mechanism through which paper documents may be converted to
12 electronic documents. As its name suggests, a scanner scans in the paper
13 document and generates an image of such document. That image is a fixed digital
14 form of that document.

15 A monitor 942 or other type of display device can also be connected to the
16 system bus 908 via an interface, such as a video adapter 944. In addition to the
17 monitor 942, other output peripheral devices can include components such as
18 speakers (not shown) and a printer 946 which can be connected to computer 902
19 via the input/output interfaces 940.

20 Computer 902 can operate in a networked environment using logical
21 connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computing device
22 948. By way of example, the remote computing device 948 can be a personal
23 computer, portable computer, a server, a router, a network computer, a peer device
24 or other common network node, and the like. The remote computing device 948 is
25

1 illustrated as a portable computer that can include many or all of the elements and
2 features described herein relative to computer 902.

3 Logical connections between computer 902 and the remote computer 948
4 are depicted as a local area network (LAN) 950 and a general wide area network
5 (WAN) 952. Such networking environments are commonplace in offices,
6 enterprise-wide computer networks, intranets, and the Internet.

7 When implemented in a LAN networking environment, the computer 902 is
8 connected to a local network 950 via a network interface or adapter 954. When
9 implemented in a WAN networking environment, the computer 902 typically
10 includes a modem 956 or other means for establishing communications over the
11 wide network 952. The modem 956, which can be internal or external to computer
12 902, can be connected to the system bus 908 via the input/output interfaces 940 or
13 other appropriate mechanisms. It is to be appreciated that the illustrated network
14 connections are exemplary and that other means of establishing communication
15 link(s) between the computers 902 and 948 can be employed.

16 In a networked environment, such as that illustrated with computing
17 environment 900, program modules depicted relative to the computer 902, or
18 portions thereof, may be stored in a remote memory storage device. By way of
19 example, remote application programs 958 reside on a memory device of remote
20 computer 948. For purposes of illustration, application programs and other
21 executable program components such as the operating system are illustrated herein
22 as discrete blocks, although it is recognized that such programs and components
23 reside at various times in different storage components of the computing device
24 902, and are executed by the data processor(s) of the computer.

Computer-Executable Instructions

An implementation of an exemplary VP paradigm may be described in the general context of computer-executable instructions, such as program modules, executed by one or more computers or other devices. Generally, program modules include routines, programs, objects, components, data structures, etc. that perform particular tasks or implement particular abstract data types. Typically, the functionality of the program modules may be combined or distributed as desired in various embodiments.

Exemplary Operating Environment

Fig. 19 illustrates an example of a suitable operating environment 900 in which an exemplary VP paradigm may be implemented. Specifically, the exemplary VP paradigm(s) described herein may be implemented (wholly or in part) by any program modules 928-930 and/or operating system 926 in Fig. 19 or a portion thereof.

The operating environment is only an example of a suitable operating environment and is not intended to suggest any limitation as to the scope or use of functionality of the exemplary VP paradigm(s) described herein. Other well known computing systems, environments, and/or configurations that are suitable for use include, but are not limited to, personal computers (PCs), server computers, hand-held or laptop devices, multiprocessor systems, microprocessor-based systems, programmable consumer electronics, wireless phones and equipments, general- and special-purpose appliances, application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), network PCs, minicomputers, mainframe computers,

1 distributed computing environments that include any of the above systems or
2 devices, and the like.

3 4 **Computer Readable Media**

5 An implementation of an exemplary VP paradigm may be stored on or
6 transmitted across some form of computer readable media. Computer readable
7 media can be any available media that can be accessed by a computer. By way of
8 example, and not limitation, computer readable media may comprise “computer
9 storage media” and “communications media.”

10 “Computer storage media” include volatile and non-volatile, removable and
11 non-removable media implemented in any method or technology for storage of
12 information such as computer readable instructions, data structures, program
13 modules, or other data. Computer storage media includes, but is not limited to,
14 RAM, ROM, EEPROM, flash memory or other memory technology, CD-ROM,
15 digital versatile disks (DVD) or other optical storage, magnetic cassettes, magnetic
16 tape, magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other
17 medium which can be used to store the desired information and which can be
18 accessed by a computer.

19 “Communication media” typically embodies computer readable
20 instructions, data structures, program modules, or other data in a modulated data
21 signal, such as carrier wave or other transport mechanism. Communication media
22 also includes any information delivery media.

23 The term “modulated data signal” means a signal that has one or more of its
24 characteristics set or changed in such a manner as to encode information in the
25 signal. By way of example, and not limitation, communication media includes

1 wired media such as a wired network or direct-wired connection, and wireless
2 media such as acoustic, RF, infrared, and other wireless media. Combinations of
3 any of the above are also included within the scope of computer readable media.

4 Conclusion

5
6 Although the invention has been described in language specific to structural
7 features and/or methodological steps, it is to be understood that the invention
8 defined in the appended claims is not necessarily limited to the specific features or
9 steps described. Rather, the specific features and steps are disclosed as preferred
10 forms of implementing the invention.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25